	ED STATES PATENT ANI	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/882,395	06/15/2001	Hans-Peter Krause	514413-3882	8304	
20999	7590 07/01/2003				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	/ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616 DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷

E L

an and a shake a second second

,

.

ı

•	Application No. 09/882,395	Applicant(s) Krause et al				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Alton Pryc	)r	Art Unit 1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs n the c ver sheet w	ith the corre	spondence addr	ess		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE		H(S) FROM			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (e). mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date or earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	n the statutory minimum of thirt ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e the application to become ABA	y (30) days will t HS from the mail NDONED (35 U.	be considered timely. ing date of this comm S.C. § 133).			
1) $\mathbf{X}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 14,	2003					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This a	ction is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex</i> <b>p</b> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>				ne merits is		
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>		is/ar	e pending in th	e application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-13</u>						
5) Claim(s)						
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 15</u>						
	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subj	ect to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
<ul><li>Application Papers</li><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a)	h) object	ed to by the Fy	aminer		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	-			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl						
12) $\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>13)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a)□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:</li> </ul>	priority under 35 U.S	.C.§119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
1. $\Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received.					
2. $\Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents he	ave been received in A	Application	No	·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	i)).	n this National	Stage		
<ul> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 1</li> <li>14)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisio	-					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)				·		
1) Dotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6-8</li> </ol>	5) 🗌 Notice of Informal Pa 6) 🛄 Other:	atent Application	(PTO-152)			

1

.

.

I

The elected composition comprising compound of A (in claim 14) plus genapol is allowable. Examiner has extended his examination beyond the election see 35 U.S.C. 102(b) below. Applicant is opposed to Restriction stating that search is not a burden since all inventions are classified in 504. Applicant further argue that with respect to the subclasses there is overlap in Examiner's written restriction. Examiner argues that while Applicant's statements are true regarding classification, the search required of the Examiner is burdensome in the since each invention could be and is most likely recited in different references. Based on this, Examiner is making the Restriction Final.

## Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

м., на

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3 lines 10,16,22,24,29,34,36,47,93, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as"

Art Unit:

and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 3 recites the broad recitation Z, and the claim also recites **preferably** Z1-Z5 which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

## Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-3,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knudsen (US 4762551; 8/9/88). Knudsen teaches a herbicide composition comprising 3-(substituted thio)-2-benozyl-cyclohex-2-enone. For Knudsen said cyclohex-2-enone where R1-R6 = H; m=2; R9 = alkyl, this compound is equivalent to the instant compound where V=V3; Z=Z1; m=0; R6 = alkylsulfonyloxy; q=1; R9=halogen. Knudsen teaches that his herbicidal composition comprises polyoxyethylene ether. Polyoxyethylene ether taught by Knudsen is equivalent to instant compound II where R-gama = OH ; R-sigma = H; y=z=0. See abstract, column 1 line 1 - column 2 line 44, column 14 lines 1-34.

## Claim Objection

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the composition of claim 14.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor **ALTON N. PRYOR PRIMARY EXAMINER** Primary Examiner, AU 1616

6/29/03